



1 defendants LAUSD and Brewer; granting with leave to amend the Motion of  
2 defendant Los Angeles County (“the County”) to dismiss all of plaintiffs’ alleged  
3 federal civil rights claims against the County; granting without leave to amend the  
4 County’s Motion to Dismiss all of plaintiffs’ alleged claims under the IDEA, the  
5 ADA, and Rehabilitation Act claims against the County; denying plaintiffs’ request  
6 for leave to amend the Complaint to add County CEO William Fukioko as a  
7 defendant; and dismissing without leave to amend plaintiff Reginald Morris’s claim  
8 seeking equitable relief from the child support judgment entered against him. Further,  
9 the District Judge ordered plaintiffs to file a First Amended Complaint within thirty  
10 (30) days remedying the deficiencies discussed in the Report and Recommendation  
11 with respect to their federal civil rights claims against the County.

12 Plaintiffs’ deadline to file a First Amended Complaint has now elapsed, and no  
13 First Amended Complaint has been filed by plaintiffs. Nor have plaintiffs sought a  
14 further extension of time to do so.

15 Accordingly, on or before December 1, 2008, plaintiffs are ORDERED to show  
16 good cause, if any they have, why they failed to timely file a First Amended  
17 Complaint in compliance with the District Judge’s Order and why this action should  
18 not be dismissed for failure to comply with a Court order and/or failure to prosecute.  
19 Plaintiffs shall attempt to show such good cause by filing declarations under penalty  
20 of perjury, accompanied by a First Amended Complaint that remedies the deficiencies  
21 discussed in the Report and Recommendation with respect to their federal civil rights  
22 claims against the County.

23 The Court admonishes plaintiffs that their failure to timely file declarations  
24 responsive to this Order to Show Cause accompanied by their First Amended  
25 Complaint will be deemed by the Court as another violation of a Court order and as  
26 further evidence of their lack of prosecution, and will result in a recommendation to  
27 the District Judge that this action be dismissed on those grounds. See Fed. R. Civ. P.  
28 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S. Ct. 1386, 1388, 8 L. Ed.

1 2d 734, reh'g denied, 371 U.S. 873, 83 S. Ct. 115, 9 L. Ed. 2d 112 (1962); Carey v.  
2 King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988).

3  
4 DATED: November 13, 2008

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Robert N. Block", is written over a light-colored rectangular background.

5  
6  
7 ROBERT N. BLOCK  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28